

SEP 01 2006**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS****NOT FOR PUBLICATION****UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS****FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT****UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,****Plaintiff - Appellee,****v.****MODESTO VALDOVINOS-MEDINA,****Defendant - Appellant.****No. 05-30474****D.C. No. CR-04-00196-WFN****MEMORANDUM***

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Washington
Wm. Fremming Nielsen, Senior Judge, Presiding**

**Argued and Submitted August 18, 2006
Seattle, Washington**

Before: PREGERSON, NOONAN, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

**Defendant-Appellant Modesto Valdovinos-Medina appeals his conviction of
illegal reentry into the United States in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. The facts of
this case are known to the parties and we do not recite them here. We have**

*** This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited
to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.**

jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 and affirm Valdovinos-Medina's conviction.

Valdovinos-Medina alleges that he was not competent to enter a guilty plea. We have reviewed the record, including the transcripts of the hearings before the district court and the psychologist's letters to the court. We conclude that Valdovinos-Medina's claim lacks merit. *See Steinsvik v. Vinzant*, 640 F.2d 949, 952-53 (9th Cir. 1981) (concluding that no "bona fide doubt" existed as to defendant's competency even where defendant had expressed confusion and was diagnosed as "borderline chronic paranoid schizophrenic").

Valdovinos-Medina's remaining contentions also lack merit.

AFFIRMED.